UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,114	07/03/2007	Ludger Grote	C2432.0069	1212
32172 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 06/23/200 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)			JAVANMARD, SAHAR	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/598,114	GROTE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SAHAR JAVANMARD	1617	

	SAHAR JAVANMARD	1617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fextensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 cension and the corresponding amount of the chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office.	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>28 May 2009</u>. A brief ir date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or all Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in between the contract of the co	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);	ΓE below);						
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			DTOL 204)					
<ul> <li>4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>	<u> </u>	,	,					
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
<ul> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ul>		condition for allowan	ce because:					
13. Other:	1 1 0/00/00/1 aper 110(3).							
/SREENI PADMANABHAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617	/S. J./ Examiner, Art Unit 1617							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments have been further considered but are not persuasive. In reference to the study provided on the 4 patients administered topiramate and zonisamide, the study is not persuasive. The study shows that although two patients responded to zonisamide and not to topiramate, one patient however did respond to both treatments. Thus it is Examiner's opinion that it would still be obvious to try, with a reasonable degree of success. Furthermore, the "unexpected results" provided by Applicant are not considered to really be unexpected as several responses are observed from the study. Additionally, the patient pool is far too small to be able to make any concrete deductions.